

# Interim Evaluation and Challenges for the Future Financial Perspective

Štefan Jankovič

Head of Unit of the EU Economic Policies

Ministry of Foreign and European Affairs of the Slovak Republic

# Content

- From **the deal** on the MFF 2014 – 2020 to its **Mid-term review**
- From **Amsterdam map** to a **Commission proposal** for the new MFF
- From **the proposal** for the new MFF to a **deal on the MFF post 2020**

# From the deal on the MFF 2014 – 2020 to its Mid-term review

## What went wrong?

- MFF 2014 – 2020: A Budget for **Strategy** Europe 2020
- New **unforeseen** needs
- **Underestimation** of needs in Headings 3 and 4
- Problematic deal for the EP: **request for the review/revision** of the MFF

# From the deal on the MFF 2014 – 2020 to its Mid-term review

## Results of the MFF-MTR achieved during the Slovak Presidency:

- Budget **flexibility has been enhanced** to allow unforeseen needs:
  - unused resources will be **channeled** towards the **Flexibility Instrument**
  - the scope of the **Global Margin for Commitments** has been **widened**
  - the **Global Margin for Payments** will benefit from **increased capping** towards the end of the period
- Political priority areas are given a **financial boost**:
  - **Youth, migration, security** in particular will benefit from more than **5 billion euros in extra funding** over the next four years
- The package **broadly supported** in the Council

# From Amsterdam map to Commission proposal for the new MFF

- **Amsterdam Map** - a huge **appetite for reconstruction**
- MFF Conference in **Berlin - Growth and CSRs**
- Tatra Summit in **Bratislava**:
  - **Financial Instruments and Grants**
  - **Growth & Jobs and Migration & Security**
  - More than 100 participants
  - Keynote speakers: K. Georgieva, J. Arthuis, P. Javorčík

# From Amsterdam map to Commission proposal for the new MFF

## Main results of the discussion on the new MFF in Bratislava:

- The new MFF must reflect the **EU citizens' needs**
- **Need to move** from the situation where more attention is paid to observation of the rules than to the **achievement of the results**
- **Cohesion Policy** supports growth and has brought of **more balance in Europe**
- Notwithstanding the new challenges, the **growth and jobs** should remain at the **center of the EU interest**
- Both means of funding – **grants and financial instruments** – have their role and shall be used when appropriate and efficient

# From Amsterdam map to Commission proposal for the new MFF

## Challenges - content:

- The total **volume** of the new MFF **after the Brexit**
- Reform of the current **own resources system**
- **Duration** of the MFF cycle
- **Unity** of the Budget
- **Simplification** and the Budget Focused on Results (BFOR)
- **Leftovers** from the MFF mid-term review:
  - European Union Crises Reserve
  - Re-use of decommitments
  - Flexibility

# From the proposal for the new MFF to deal on the post 2020 MFF

## Challenges - process:

- At least **2 years of negotiations ahead** of us
- **A permanent chair** for the negotiation process?
- **Presidencies involved:** BG, AT, RO, FI, (HR)
- **Role of the EP** throughout the process/negotiations
- The same game again? (FoC, FoBS, net positions)
- Friends of Cohesion and **the V4 role**



# From the proposal for the new MFF to deal on the post 2020 MFF

## Ambitions:

- New **priorities**
- **New** own resources
- Budget Focused on **Results**
- **CSRs**
- European **Added Value**

*“Don't tell me what you value, show me your budget, and I'll tell you what you value.”*

*Joe Biden*

# Thank you for your attention!

Štefan Jankovič  
Head of Unit of the EU Economic Policies  
Ministry of Foreign and European Affairs  
of the Slovak Republic